

# Literature Review vs Systematic Review: What's the Difference and Which One Should You Use?

## Quick Answer

A literature review is a broad summary and interpretation of existing research on a topic. It helps explain trends, theories, debates, and research gaps.

A systematic review is a highly structured research method that uses predefined rules to search, screen, evaluate, and synthesize studies.

Literature reviews are flexible and common in essays, theses, and introductions.

Systematic reviews are rigorous and common in healthcare, psychology, education, and evidence-based policy.

Choose a literature review when you need context and critical discussion.

Choose a systematic review when you need transparent, reproducible evidence.

If unsure, start with your assignment brief, research question, and required methodology.

Many students, researchers, and academic writers confuse **literature review vs systematic review** because both involve reading published studies and summarizing findings. But they are not the same task. They have different goals, different standards, and different expectations from supervisors, journals, and universities.

This distinction matters more than most people realize. If a professor asks for a literature review and you submit a systematic-style paper, you may waste time on unnecessary steps. If a dissertation committee expects a systematic review and you submit a narrative overview, your work may be criticized for weak methodology. Understanding the difference early saves time, improves quality, and helps you meet academic standards.

In this guide, you'll learn how each review works, what makes them different, where students make mistakes, and how to choose the right format for your project. You'll also see what many competing articles fail to explain: methodology depth, decision criteria, scope control, and how instructors actually evaluate review quality.

Need help planning, structuring, or polishing your review? You can request academic writing support here:

[Get Writing Assistance](#)

## Quick SERP Analysis: What Competing Articles Usually Cover

Across search results for this topic, most pages follow a similar pattern:

- Definition of literature review
- Definition of systematic review
- Simple comparison table
- When to use each review type
- Examples from medicine or dissertations
- Short FAQ section

## Common Content Format

Most ranking pages use educational guide format: definition + comparison + examples. Some university library pages focus on research methodology. Blog articles often simplify the topic for students.

## What Competitors Often Miss

- How instructors grade each type differently
- How scope and timeline should influence your choice
- Why many “systematic reviews” are not truly systematic
- Common anti-patterns that reduce credibility
- What actually matters more than labels: protocol, transparency, critical analysis

## SEO Structure and Keyword Clustering

- **Main keyword:** literature review vs systematic review
- **Related keywords:** difference between literature review and systematic review, narrative review vs systematic review, what is a systematic review, how to write literature review, review types in research
- **Intent:** informational + academic decision-making

## What Is a Literature Review?

A literature review is a structured discussion of published sources related to a topic, theory, problem, or research question. Its main purpose is to show what scholars already know, where they disagree, how ideas evolved, and what gaps remain.

Unlike a systematic review, a literature review usually allows more flexibility in how sources are found, selected, grouped, and interpreted. That does not mean it is casual or weak. A strong literature review still requires critical thinking, source quality control, clear organization, and logical synthesis.

## Typical Uses of a Literature Review

- Chapter in a thesis or dissertation

- Section of a journal article
- Standalone coursework assignment
- Conceptual overview before original research
- Theory-building or framework development

## What Makes a Good Literature Review

- Clear topic boundaries
- Relevant and current sources
- Theme-based organization instead of source-by-source summaries
- Critical comparison of findings
- Identification of research gaps
- Connection to your own research purpose

## What Is a Systematic Review?

A systematic review is a formal research method used to collect, evaluate, and synthesize all relevant evidence related to a focused question. It follows a predefined process so another researcher could repeat the method and reach a similar evidence base.

Systematic reviews are common in medicine, psychology, nursing, education, and public policy because decisions in those fields often rely on evidence quality.

## Core Steps in a Systematic Review

1. Create a precise research question
2. Define inclusion and exclusion criteria
3. Search multiple databases using documented strategies
4. Screen titles, abstracts, and full texts
5. Assess study quality or risk of bias
6. Extract data consistently
7. Synthesize results narratively or statistically
8. Report methods transparently

## Why It Is Considered Strong Evidence

The strength of a systematic review comes from transparency and reproducibility. Readers can see how studies were chosen, why others were excluded, and how conclusions were formed.

## Literature Review vs Systematic Review: Side-by-Side Comparison

Feature	Literature Review	Systematic Review
Purpose	Summarize and interpret knowledge	Answer a focused question using rigorous evidence collection
Scope	Broad or thematic	Narrow and clearly defined
Search Process	Flexible	Preplanned and documented
Study Selection	Researcher judgment	Explicit inclusion/exclusion criteria
Bias Control	Moderate	High priority
Time Required	Lower to moderate	High
Best For	Essays, theses, theory discussion	Evidence-based decisions, publishable synthesis

## REAL VALUE BLOCK: What Actually Matters When Choosing Between Them

Many people focus on the label instead of the function. That is a mistake. What matters most is whether the review method matches your goal.

### 1. Your Research Question

If your question is broad—such as “How has remote work changed employee wellbeing?”—a literature review may fit better. If your question is narrow—such as “Does remote work reduce burnout among nurses compared with onsite work?”—a systematic review may be stronger.

### 2. Required Evidence Standard

If decisions affect policy, treatment, funding, or formal recommendations, systematic methods are often preferred. If your goal is understanding debates, mapping themes, or building theory, literature review methods may be enough.

### 3. Available Time and Resources

A true systematic review can take months. Database searches, screening, quality appraisal, and documentation are labor-intensive. Students often underestimate this. If you have two weeks and no

training, calling something “systematic” may create more problems than benefits.

## 4. Depth vs Breadth

Literature reviews often go broader across perspectives. Systematic reviews go deeper into a specific question. Choose breadth when context matters. Choose depth when precision matters.

## 5. Supervisor or Journal Expectations

Always check guidelines. Some departments use “literature review” as a generic term, while others use strict methodology definitions.

# How Each Review Actually Works in Practice

## How a Literature Review Is Usually Built

- Define topic boundaries
- Search databases and key authors
- Select the most relevant and credible sources
- Group findings into themes
- Compare methods and results
- Show gaps and unresolved issues
- Explain why your project matters

## How a Systematic Review Is Usually Built

- Register or define protocol first
- Use database strings and controlled keywords
- Track all records found
- Remove duplicates
- Screen studies in stages
- Rate study quality
- Summarize evidence strength
- Present flow diagram and appendices

## Common Mistakes Students Make

**Anti-Patterns That Hurt Quality**

### Calling Any Source Summary a Literature Review

A list of article summaries is not a literature review. You need synthesis, comparison, and insight.

## Calling a Normal Review “Systematic”

If you did not use explicit criteria, reproducible searches, and documented screening, it is likely not systematic.

## Using Too Broad a Topic

Both review types fail when the topic is vague. Narrow the population, time frame, context, or variable.

## Ignoring Source Quality

Ten weak sources do not beat five strong peer-reviewed studies.

## No Critical Analysis

Readers want to know what findings mean, where evidence conflicts, and what limitations exist.

## What Other Articles Don't Tell You

- A literature review can be excellent scholarship if it is analytical and well-scoped.
- A poor systematic review can be weaker than a strong narrative review if methods are sloppy.
- The label does not guarantee quality—execution does.
- Many instructors care more about methodological fit than trendy terminology.
- Students often choose systematic review for prestige, then struggle with workload.

## When to Choose a Literature Review

- You are starting a thesis topic
- You need to understand debates and frameworks
- Your assignment asks for critical discussion
- Your question is exploratory
- You need a manageable timeline

## When to Choose a Systematic Review

- You need evidence for a narrow question
- You are in health, psychology, or policy research
- You need transparent methods
- You want publishable evidence synthesis
- Your supervisor specifically requests it

# Practical Tips to Finish Faster and Better

- Create a search log from day one
- Use citation software
- Define inclusion rules early
- Write summaries while reading
- Group studies by themes, not authors
- Keep a limitations section throughout drafting
- Check rubric language carefully

If you need help with topic narrowing, source synthesis, editing, formatting, or drafting your review, you can request support here:

[Request Academic Help](#)

## FAQ: Literature Review vs Systematic Review

### 1. Is a systematic review harder than a literature review?

Usually yes. A systematic review requires more formal steps, stricter documentation, and a transparent selection process. You may need multiple databases, screening logs, appraisal tools, and reporting standards. A literature review can still be challenging, but it is often more flexible and faster to complete. Difficulty depends on scope, discipline, and expectations.

### 2. Can a thesis include both types of review?

Yes. Some theses contain a traditional literature review chapter for theoretical background and a separate systematic review study as one research component. Others use a systematic review as the main methodology. This depends on your program rules and supervisor guidance.

### 3. Is a narrative review the same as a literature review?

Sometimes the terms overlap, but not always. “Literature review” is a broad umbrella term. A narrative review often refers to a more descriptive or interpretive style without systematic methods. Always check how your department uses the terminology.

### 4. How many sources do I need?

There is no universal number. Quality, relevance, and coverage matter more than raw count. A focused literature review may use 25 strong sources. A systematic review may screen hundreds

of records but include far fewer final studies. Follow your field norms and assignment brief.

## 5. Can I turn my literature review into a systematic review later?

Sometimes, but not automatically. You would need to redesign the process: define criteria, rerun searches systematically, document screening decisions, and assess quality. It is easier to decide methodology early than retrofit later.

## 6. Which review type is better for publication?

Neither is automatically better. A high-quality systematic review can be highly valuable in evidence-based fields. A strong literature review can also be publishable if it offers synthesis, theory development, or a fresh conceptual perspective. Journals judge relevance and rigor.

## Final Verdict

The real difference in **literature review vs systematic review** is purpose and process. Literature reviews help readers understand a field. Systematic reviews help readers answer a focused question with minimized bias. Neither is universally superior. The best choice is the one that matches your research goal, available time, and required level of rigor.

If you need broad insight, conceptual understanding, or a thesis foundation, choose a literature review. If you need defensible evidence for a narrow question, choose a systematic review.

And if deadlines, structure, or writing quality are slowing you down, getting professional academic assistance can save hours and reduce stress.

[Get Review Writing Help](#)